

78

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,963	MORTENSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phallaka Kik	2825	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to Application and IDS filed on 2/25/2004, and interview conducted on 3/20/2006.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-32.
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
 5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).**
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date <u>20060320</u> . |
| 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date <u>2/25/04</u> | 7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action responds to the Application and IDS filed on 2/25/2004, and interview conducted on 3/20/2006. Claims 1-32 are pending. Claims 1-32 have been examined and are allowed, wherein claims 1,6-7,9,15,17,23,25,31 are subjected to the following Examiner's Amendment.

Examiner's Amendment

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Shreen K. Danamraj (Reg. No. 41,696) on 3/20/2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

As per **claim 1**, "the disposition" (line 12) has been replaced with --a disposition--
- for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 6**, "the group" (line 2) has been replaced with --a group-- for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 7**, "the group" (line 3) has been replaced with --a group-- for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 9**, "the disposition" (line 14) has been replaced with --a disposition--
for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 15**, "the group" (line 3) has been replaced with --a group-- for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 17**, "the disposition" (line 16) has been replaced with --a disposition-- for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 23**, "the group" (line 3) has been replaced with --a group-- for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 25**, "the disposition" (line 13) has been replaced with --a disposition-- for proper antecedent basis.

As per **claim 31**, "the group" (line 3) has been replaced with --a group-- for proper antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. **Claims 1-32** are allowed.

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per **claims 1-32**, the independent claims 1,9,17,25, from which the respective claims depend, recite the system/method/computer-readable medium/verification check waiver system for waiving a verification check associated with circuit design or for supporting a hierarchical query verification tool associated with the circuit design, comprising the inventive features of integrated the waiver options into the hierarchical verification tree; for traversing a portion of the verification tree to determine a list of applicable waivers, in response to the verification check violation; and resolving the list of applicable waivers to determine the disposition of the verification check violation, as claimed. In particular, although **McKay et al.** (U.S. Patent No. 6,418,551) teach the

waiver verification check system/method for verifying circuit pattern layouts having hierarchical design (col. 2, lines 56 to col. 3, lines 10), such waiver options are not being integrated into the hierarchical verification tree, as claimed. Other prior arts made of record teach various methods/systems of waiving verification check associated with the circuit design but similarly failed to teach or suggest the inventive features as claimed (see especially **Baumgartner et al.**, U.S. patent No. 2005/0188337, especially Figs. 1-4; abstract; **Yaw et al.**, "The Algorithm of a Synthesis Technique for Concurrent Systems", Proceedings of the Third International Petri Nets and Performance Models, 11 December 1989, pp. 266-276, especially section 2). Accordingly, the claimed invention is novel and un-obvious over the prior arts made of record.

Conclusion

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phallaka Kik whose telephone number is 571-272-1895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

571-273-8300



Phallaka Kik
U.S. Patent Examiner
March 20, 2006